

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,031	05/25/2006	Arturo Morgandi	436030104US	2957
29493	7590 11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
	EPPENBERGER, LLC	PASCHALL, MARK H		
190 CARONDELET PLAZA SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, M	MO 63105-3441		3742	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	plication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			8,031	MORGANDI, AR	MORGANDI, ARTURO			
			ner	Art Unit				
		****	l. Paschall	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN 1997. THE MAN IS IN 1997 IN 1997. THE MAN IS IN 1997. THE MAN	ALING DATE OF f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply ar rill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMU o event, however, may nd will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on			•			
· —		o)⊠ This action i	is non-final.					
'=								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		, ,	,				
· _		alication						
	☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ion and/or alastic	n roquiromant					
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	on and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
. 9)⊠ `	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is red	quired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02-10-2006</u> .	O-948)		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because unlabelled elements 41-53 and 59, in figures 3 and 4 are required to be labeled in accordance with their function. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology such as, "means", see lines 9 and 10 for instance. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (2002/0195442) in view of Weiss (5,770,836). Lee teaches the claimed subject matter including dual wire sensing wire helically wound, see figure 1, multiple series connected switches, SCR and SW in figure 3, and microprocessor control, as claimed. The lee system does teach foldable heating system as claimed. The Lee system does not teach use of both short and open abnormality detection and appropriate indicators, in combination with a microprocessor controlled heating blanket, inclusive of test algorithm. The patent to Weiss is applied for teaching these features in a linear heating blanket environment as conventional and leading to a safer device for the consumer. See claim 1, column 9—last paragraph for dual indicators, column 9 for open and short sensing systems. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the lee system to have automated testing of the control system, which includes short and open circuit sensing systems and appropriate indicators, to enable the Lee device to enhance the safety of the user. As per claim 2 note teachings of short and open protection in Lee as modified. Applicant should note that claims 3-7 define only method limitations that do not further limit the claimed apparatus and no patentable weight has bee given to these method limitations. Note that Lee teaches the claimed spiral configuration set forth in claim 8 and use of specific temperatures sensed is an obvious choice for the designer, dependent on the end use of the device and the temperature ranges desired. As per claim 9 note SCR and SW in Figure 3 which cut-off power supply based on temperature sensed and abnormalities sensed. As per claims 16-18 note the last

paragraph of column 9, which indicates indicators 118 in addition to another flashing indicator. These indicators are distinct from each other. As per claim 20, Weiss clearly defines use of a self-diagnostic routine at the beginning of each use of the device.

Claim 21 contains only method limitations and no further structure to limit the claimed apparatus. Also the need for maintenance would be designated by an open or short circuit abnormality, as disclosed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weiss et al and Zabrowsky et al are cited for disclosing heating blanket controllers of interest to the invention disclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

MA A Postne

Mp